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**THE QUESTION**

The number of novel oral anticancer therapies has increased considerably in recent years, often accompanied by a high price tag. Due to an increase in high-deductible health plans and growing use of specialty tiers with coinsurance (as opposed to fixed copayment) requirements, many cancer patients face high out-of-pocket (OOP) costs for these medications. Because patients must pay the entire OOP cost for an oral prescription up front, these costs present a unique risk that patients will delay pick-up of the prescription or opt not to fill it at all.

To better understand how these costs affect the initiation of novel treatments, the authors analyzed claims data for patients covered by commercial insurance or Medicare Part D who received a new prescription for any of 38 oral anticancer medications from 2014-2015. These claims data were unique in that they included all prescriptions approved by the payer, including those that the patient opted not to fill, along with the amount due from the patient at the time of pickup after coupons or copayment assistance were applied.

**THE FINDINGS**

Among 38,111 patients, the average OOP cost was $486; overall, 18% of patients “abandoned” their index prescription, meaning that they did not pick up an insurer-approved prescription for the index medication within 90 days. On average, patients who abandoned their prescription had higher mean OOP costs ($1,397) than those who filled it ($284). Across OOP cost categories, few patients who abandoned their prescription went on to have prescription claims for alternate cancer treatments, including intravenous therapies, in the following 90 days.

Adjusting for socioeconomic and clinical characteristics, the authors found that rates of abandonment and delay increased as the OOP cost category increased, in a linear fashion. As shown in Figure 1, nearly half of patients (49%) facing OOP costs over $2,000 failed to pick up their new prescription for an oral cancer medication, compared to 10% of patients who were required to pay less than $10 at the time of purchase. Delays in picking up prescriptions were also more frequent among patients facing higher OOP costs.
These patterns were consistent across cancers. Although abandonment rates were highest for medications to treat metastatic cancers that typically have poorer prognosis, a substantial percentage of patients abandoned treatment even for cancers where the medication has been shown to extend life by many years.

The authors used these risk-adjusted rates to simulate how abandonment might change in light of ongoing trends toward higher OOP costs. This simulation revealed that if patients currently responsible for $50 to $100 per prescription were shifted to the next higher OOP cost category ($100 to $500), abandonment rates would likely double (from 16% to 36%).

**THE IMPLICATIONS**

This study raises questions about whether patients will be able to take advantage of new cancer treatments. Currently, OOP costs greater than $2,000 for a new oral cancer medication are typical for Medicare Part D patients without low-income subsidies and for many commercially insured patients. Given the study’s focus on new treatment episodes, these findings suggest that financial barriers may limit patient access to what may be the provider’s and/or patient’s first choice medication. Such obstacles may impose additional financial burdens and inflict emotional stress at a time when patients are already coping with a life-altering diagnosis or change in medical status.

LDI Research Briefs are produced by LDI’s policy team. For more information please contact Janet Weiner at weinerja@pennmedicine.upenn.edu.